# ARTS, SCIENCE \& COMMERCE COLLEGE, KOLHAR 

Tal. Rahata, Dist. Ahmednagar, PIN- 413710

Feedback Analysis Report<br>Academic year: 2017-18<br>(Students, Parents, Teachers, Alumni and Employers)

The institute aims to offer the best possible environment and learning experience to encourage students to perform to their full potential for academic achievement.

Students, teachers, parents and alumni play an important role in the evaluation, development and enhancement of the quality of this learning experience. Feedback from students, teachers, parents and alumni helps the college to evaluate how its service policies and make changes as per stakeholders requirements.

The Feedback Process has put an emphasis on the need for involvement of students in the quality assurance of higher education. Student involvement requires that students should act as a collaborators in, rather than merely passive receivers of, teaching and learning process.

All the stakeholders' feedback data was collected and analyzed as per the following tables of the academic year 2017-18.

## Student feedback on Curricula

The responses received from the student respondents are presented in below table for the year 2017-18

| Que. No | Questions | Opinions |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very Good | Good | Satisfa ctory | Unsatis factory |  |
| 1 | Depth of course content including project work | 305 | 126 | 50 | 14 | 495 |
|  | Percentage | 62.93 | 24.72 | 9.94 | 2.42 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | Extent of coverage of course | 249 | 148 | 71 | 27 | 495 |
|  | Percentage | 50.26 | 29.88 | 14.85 | 5.01 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | Applicability/ relevance to real life situations | 235 | 156 | 66 | 38 | 495 |
|  | Percentage | 47.67 | 31.88 | 12.72 | 7.73 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | Learning values | 271 | 142 | 55 | 27 | 495 |
|  | Percentage | 55.05 | 28.25 | 11.58 | 5.12 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | Clarity and relevance of textual | 268 | 139 | 59 | 29 | 495 |
|  | Percentage | 55.21 | 26.60 | 11.73 | 6.46 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | Relevance of additional source material(library) | 257 | 149 | 58 | 31 | 495 |
|  | Percentage | 53.55 | 29.67 | 11.20 | 5.57 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | Extent of effort required by students | 281 | 156 | 43 | 15 | 495 |
|  | Percentage | 58.23 | 29.98 | 8.99 | 2.80 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |


| $\mathbf{8}$ | Overall rating |  | 302 | 125 | 46 | 22 |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | 62.02 | 24.78 | 9.41 | 3.79 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |$|$

## Students Feedback Curriculum Report:

The performance of student feedback was found that about highest 55.62 percent of student was feel very good, 28.22 percent student was feel good, 11.30 percent student was feel satisfactory and remaining only 4.86 percent student was feel unsatisfactory about curriculum.

## Students Feedback on Teacher

| Sr. No | Name of the Staff | Subject/ Dept. | Overall <br> Remark |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Dr. Dalimbe Sopan Nivrutti | Geography | Very Good |
| 2. | Mr. Bidgar Ashok Dhondiba | History | Very Good |
| 3. | Dr. Salalkar Rajendra Laxman | Marathi | Very Good |
| 4. | Dr. Landge Anil Ashok | Geography | Very Good |
| 5. | Mr. Vikhe Parmeshwar Bhausaheb | English | Very Good |
| 6. | Dr.Shinde Navnath Angad | Commerce | Very Good |
| 7. | Dr. Vikhe Archana Anil | Commerce | Very Good |
| 8. | Mr. Dighe Vijay Gangadhar | Hindi | Very Good |
| 9. | Dr. Tupe Pravin Tulshiram | English | Very Good |
| 10. | Dr. Kharde Vijay Abasaheb | Hindi | Very Good |
| 11. | Dr. Navale Bhausaheb Navnath | Economics | Very Good |
| 12. | Dr. Deshmukh Ganesh Ramchandra | English | Very Good |
| 13. | Mr. Mali Rakesh Shravan | Commerce | Very Good |
| 14. | Dr. Pulate Prakash Dagadu | Physics | Very Good |
| 15. | Mr. Kadu Vinod Raosaheb | Zoology | Good |
| 16. | Mr. Pathan Asif Salim | Botany | Very Good |
| 17. | Mr. Kharde Amol Karbhari | Chemistry | Very Good |
| 18. | Dr. Vikhe Pratibha Sopan | Commerce | Very Good |
| 19. | Miss. Mhaske Amruta Kakasaheb | Chemistry | Very Good |
| 20. | Dr. Dighe Ranjana Anil | Chemistry | Good |
| 21. | Mr. Auti Pandurang Shivaji | Chemistry | Very Good |
| 22. | Miss. Gaikwad Rani Jagannath | Chemistry | Very Good |
| 23. | Prof. Sonawane Yogita Sitaram | Mathematics | Very Good |
| 24. | Miss. Raut Kavita Keshav | Chemistry | Very Good |
| 25. | Mr. Belkar Janardhan Ambadas | Zoology | Very Good |
| 26. | Mr. Waditke Sachin Kailas |  |  |
| 27. | Miss. Hukare Pooja Moreshwar |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Students' Overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

| Que. <br> no. | Questions | Very <br> Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatis <br> factory | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | The syllabus was? | 40 | 50 | 24 | 12 | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ |


|  | Percentage | 31.75 | 39.68 | 19.05 | 9.52 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Your background for benefiting from the course was? | 90 | 11 | 20 | 5 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 71.43 | 8.73 | 15.87 | 3.97 | 100 |
| 3 | Was the course conceptually difficult to understand? | 70 | 45 | 6 | 5 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 55.56 | 35.71 | 4.76 | 3.97 | 100 |
| 4 | How much of the syllabus was covered in a class? | 43 | 42 | 20 | 21 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 34.13 | 33.33 | 15.87 | 16.67 | 100 |
| 5 | What is your opinion the library material for the course? | 70 | 31 | 18 | 7 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 55.56 | 24.60 | 14.29 | 5.56 | 100 |
| 6 | Were you able to get the prescribed readings? | 81 | 31 | 10 | 4 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 64.29 | 24.60 | 7.94 | 3.17 | 100 |
| 7 | How well did the teacher prepare for the class? | 80 | 20 | 21 | 5 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 63.49 | 15.87 | 16.67 | 3.97 | 100 |
| 8 | How well was the teacher able to communicate? | 89 | 10 | 21 | 6 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 70.63 | 7.94 | 16.67 | 4.76 | 100 |
| 9 | Did the teacher encourage student participation in class? | 82 | 31 | 11 | 2 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 65.08 | 24.60 | 8.73 | 1.59 | 100 |
| 10 | If yes, which of the following methods were used? | 84 | 31 | 11 | 0 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 66.67 | 24.60 | 8.73 | 0.00 | 100 |
| 11 | How helpful was the teacher in advising? | 22 | 89 | 10 | 5 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 17.46 | 70.63 | 7.94 | 3.97 | 100 |
| 12 | How was the teacher | 104 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 82.54 | 16.67 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 100 |
| 13 | Did the internal assessment work? | 108 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 85.71 | 11.11 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 100 |
| 14 | What effect do you thank the internal assessment will have on your course grade? | 95 | 24 | 2 | 5 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 75.40 | 19.05 | 1.59 | 3.97 | 100 |
| 15 | How did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? | 110 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 126 |


|  | Percentage | 87.30 | 8.73 | 3.17 | 0.79 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Were your assignments discussed with you? | 102 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 80.95 | 7.94 | 7.94 | 3.17 | 100 |
| 17 | Were you provided with a course and lecture outline at the beginning? | 98 | 22 | 4 | 2 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 77.78 | 17.46 | 3.17 | 1.59 | 100 |
| 18 | Was it helpful? | 88 | 21 | 8 | 9 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 69.84 | 16.67 | 6.35 | 7.14 | 100 |
| 19 | Was it followed? | 81 | 25 | 18 | 2 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 64.29 | 19.84 | 14.29 | 1.59 | 100 |
| 20 | Was there any opportunity for personal interaction with teachers? | 78 | 24 | 19 | 5 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 61.90 | 19.05 | 15.08 | 3.97 | 100 |
| 21 | Was there any opportunity for small group work? | 87 | 13 | 21 | 5 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 69.05 | 10.32 | 16.67 | 3.97 | 100 |
| 22 | Were outsider experts invited to address you? | 45 | 39 | 24 | 18 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 35.71 | 30.95 | 19.05 | 14.29 | 100 |
| 23 | Did you visit industries, banks and outside Universities? | 98 | 11 | 15 | 2 | 126 |
|  | Percentage | 77.78 | 8.73 | 11.90 | 1.59 | 100 |
|  | Average Total | 80 | 27 | 13 | 6 | 126 |
|  | Average Percentage | 63.66 | 21.60 | 10.35 | 4.38 | 100.00 |

## Students Feedback Report:

The performance of student feedback was found that about highest 63.66 percent of student was feel very good, 21.60 percent student was feel good, 10.35 percent student was feel satisfactory and remaining only 4.38 percent student was feel unsatisfactory about overall Teaching and Learning.

## Parents feedback-

The responses received from the parent respondents are presented in below table for the year 2017-18

| Que. | Questions | Very <br> Good | Good | Satisfacto <br> ry | Unsatisfa <br> ctory | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| no. | Percentage | 76.36 | 14.55 | 5.45 | 3.64 | 100 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Admission procedure. | 42 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 55 |
|  |  | Infrastructure and Laboratory <br> facilities available in the college | Percentage | 54.55 | 27.27 | 9.09 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  | 9.09 | 100 |  |  |


| 3 | Work culture observed in Teaching and Non-teaching staff of College | 25 | 27 | 2 | 1 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | 45.45 | 49.09 | 3.64 | 1.82 | 100.0 |
| 4 | Canteen facilities in the campus | 31 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 55 |
|  | Percentage | 56.36 | 38.18 | 5.45 | 0.00 | 100.0 |
| 5 | Library facilities in the college | 42 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 55 |
|  | Percentage | 76.36 | 18.18 | 3.64 | 1.82 | 100.0 |
| 6 | Do you think that the syllabus of your ward having current content? | 21 | 28 | 4 | 2 | 55 |
|  | Percentage | 38.18 | 50.91 | 7.27 | 3.64 | 100 |
| 7 | Does the Syllabus incorporate computer skills and other soft skills needed for employment? | 23 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 55 |
|  | Percentage | 41.82 | 40.00 | 12.73 | 5.45 | 100 |
| 8 | Do you think that the college environment is conducive for the overall development of your ward? | 36 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 55 |
|  | Percentage | 65.45 | 18.18 | 9.09 | 7.27 | 100.0 |
| 9 | Use of information and communication technology in the college | 31 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
|  | Percentage | 60 | 36 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| 10 | Academic discipline. | 21 | 30 | 3 | 1 | 55 |
|  | Percentage | 38.18 | 54.55 | 5.45 | 1.82 | 100 |
| 11 | Improvement in soft skills, knowledge, ethics, morality, observed by you in your ward while studying in the college. | 10 | 35 | 7 | 3 | 55 |
|  | Percentage | 18.18 | 63.64 | 12.73 | 5.45 | 100 |
| 12 | Sports facilities in college campus. | 40 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 55 |
|  | Percentage | 72.73 | 18.18 | 5.45 | 3.64 | 100 |
| 13 | Overall rating of the college. | 21 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 55 |
|  | Percentage | 38.18 | 56.36 | 5.45 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | Average Total | 29 | 21 | 4 | 2 | 55 |
|  | Average Percentage | 52.45 | 37.31 | 6.88 | 3.36 | 100.00 |

## Parents Feedback Report:

The performance of parent feedback was found that about highest 52.45 percent of parent was feel very good, 37.31 percent parent was feel good, 6.88 percent parent was feel Satisfactory and 3.36 percent parents fell unsatisfactory about college facilities.

## Teachers Feedback-

The responses received from the teacher respondents are presented in below table for the year 2017-18

| Que. <br> no. | Questions | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1 | The administration is teacher friendly. | 21 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | 70.0 | 23.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 2 | The college provides adequate opportunities and support to faculty members for upgrading their skills and qualifications | 19 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 30 |
|  | Percentage | 63.3 | 26.7 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| 3 | The environment in the college is conducive to teaching and research. | 17 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 30 |
|  | Percentage | 56.7 | 40.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 4 | Teacher has the freedom to take on new techniques/strategies of tests and assessments. | 24 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 30 |
|  | Percentage | 80.0 | 13.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 5 | The courses/ Syllabi designed and taught have good balance between theory and application. | 27 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 30 |
|  | Percentage | 90.0 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 6 | The books/journals etc. approved/listed as reference materials are appropriate updated and cover the total Syllabi. | 20 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 30 |
|  | Percentage | 66.7 | 23.3 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 7 | Prescribed books are available in sufficient number in the library. | 22 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 30 |
|  | Percentage | 73.3 | 13.3 | 10.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| 8 | Tests and examinations are conducted well in time with proper coverage of the syllabus. | 26 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 30 |
|  | Percentage | 86.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 9 | ICT facilities in the college are adequate and satisfactory. | 24 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 30 |
|  | Percentage | 80.0 | 10.0 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| 10 | Separate space for teachers in the college canteen is available. | 27 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
|  | Percentage | 90.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 11 | Toilets and washrooms are clean and properly maintained. | 25 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 30 |
|  | Percentage | 83.3 | 10.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 12 | The classrooms are clean and well maintained. | 12 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
|  | Percentage | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |


| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Staff welfare schemes are in <br> function | 20 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 30 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | 66.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Average Total | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
|  | Average Percentage | $\mathbf{7 2 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

## Teachers Feedback Report:

The performance of teacher feedback was found that about highest $\mathbf{7 2 . 8 2}$ percent of teacher was strongly agree, $\mathbf{1 9 . 7 4}$ percent teacher was agree, $\mathbf{6 . 6 7}$ percent teacher was disagree, and remaining only 0.77 percent teacher was strongly disagree about syllabus and facilities.

## Alumni Feedback-

Our alumni feedback is valuable for us as it provides inputs regarding improvement in facilities. We appeal our alumni to provide their sincere feedback to us through prescribed forms, emails and during alumni meets on the infrastructure, library and teaching faculty of the college. Based on the comments of the alumni, the analysis is carried out as follows:

The responses received from the alumni respondents are presented in the following table.

| Que.no. | Paramertrs | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | How would you rate the curriculum prescribed for your degree during your term in the college? | 21 | 14 | 2 | 1.0 | 38 |
|  | Percentage | 55.26 | 36.84 | 5.26 | 2.63 | 100.00 |
| 2 | Does the Curriculum give you sufficient \& necessary knowledge with respect to future career opportunities? | 24 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 38 |
|  | Percentage | 63.16 | 26.32 | 7.89 | 2.63 | 100.00 |
| 3 | Does the curriculum have good balance between theory and applications? | 22 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 38 |
|  | Percentage | 57.89 | 39.47 | 2.63 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 4 | Infrastructure and Laboratory facilities available in the college? | 25 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 38 |
|  | Percentage | 65.79 | 23.68 | 7.89 | 2.63 | 100.00 |
| 5 | How do you rate the Teaching staff of the College? | 29 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
|  | Percentage | 76.32 | 23.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 6 | How do you rate the Non-teaching Staff of the college? | 22 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 38 |
|  | Percentage | 57.89 | 36.84 | 5.26 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 7 | How would you rate the motivation created by the syllabus to pursue post | 20 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 38 |



## Alumni Feedback Report:

The performance of alumni feedback was found that about highest 57.42 percent of alumni was feel very good, 33.73 percent alumni was feel good, 7.66 percent alumni was feel Satisfactory, 1.20 percent alumni was feel unsatisfactory about infrastructure and other facilities.

## Employers Feedback-

The responses received from Employer respondents are presented in below table for the year 2017-18

| Sr. No. | Questions | Opinions |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Strongly Agree | Agree | Strongly Disagree | Disagree |  |
| 1 | The course objectives are well defined and the modules are logically structured. | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Percentage | 30.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
| 2 | Does the curriculum have good balance between theory and applications? | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Percentage | 40.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
| 3 | Does the curriculum have enough content for a student to acquire sufficient knowledge to secure a subject related job? | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Percentage | 30.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
| 4 | Incorporates computer skills and other soft skills needed for employment. | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Percentage | 40.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
| 5 | Does the syllabus have content for developing skills to handle work place | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 |


|  | problems? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | 30.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
| 6 | Does the syllabus create interest to students to pursue research in a particular topic? | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Percentage | 40.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
| 7 | Includes project/dissertation/in-plant training/field visit for real-life experiential Learning. | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Percentage | 30.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
| 8 | Provides scope for acquiring employable and entrepreneurship skills. | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Percentage | 40.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
| 9 | The curriculum and non-curricular initiatives taken up by Institute has helped the students to attain the required competency level | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Percentage | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
| 10 | Curriculum includes human values and ethics. | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Percentage | 40.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
|  | Average Total | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Average Percentage | 37.00 | 11.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 100 |

## Employer Feedback on Curriculum Report:

The performance of Employer feedback was found that about highest 37.00 percent of Employer was strongly agree, 11.00 Employer was agree, 2.00 Employer was disagree about Curriculum.

## Dr. S. R. Walunj



PRINCIPAL

